

Problem Statement

Recent research on Performance Enhancing Drugs has addressed the controversy of where to draw the line. That being, banning all substances in professional sports, or putting different restrictions on certain drugs over one other, based on their athletic effect to the human body. The main assumption of this controversy and topic is that only the hard substances are banned, and that some substances don't come with higher risks or other effects. This assumption has generated important research, the emphasis on performance enhancing drugs have meant that few studies have considered a new view on the issue, an issue in which I will explore in this article.

Lit Review

Some researchers have suggested that every since sports have grown worldwide and have become a key role in some humans life, athletes have tried to gain and improve their abilities against all of their competitors and opponents. Everybody wants to be the best. For example, in a recent ESPN interview with baseball star, Alex Rodriguez, he stated that he felt pressured. He was young and felt like he had something to prove to the league and everyone who follows baseball. He had said he felt like he had to be the best. As this research has shown us just one reason why one would take action and violate the rules and allegations, opportunities of other reasons are still in the air.

Proposed Response

In order to address the problem of performance enhancing drugs, I will draw on the following theories which others have used before me. Applying this approach is appropriate because this controversy plays a big role in professional sports worldwide. To do this, I will first provide information about recent/past cases addressing this topic, then show the side of why it should be completely banned, then I will show the counterargument of why it should be thought of and looked at more thoroughly depending on what the substance abused is.

Comment [TS1]: Scott, I can see you using some of the moves I've proposed in this Professional Genre ☺

Comment [TS2]: Instead of this phrase, how might you use more formal and specific LANGUAGE appropriate to the GENRE? "what should be considered legal or illegal" "when their use is beneficial or dangerous"

Comment [TS3]: How might you combine or revise in relation to previous sentence?

"...has addressed controversies. Should all such substances be banned, or should the use of certain drugs be restricted..."

Comment [TS4]: SP (spelling)

Comment [TS5]: UNN (unnecessary)

Comment [TS6]: WO (word order)
To me, this is the assumption, and the result of that assumption ("therefore") is that "only the hard substances are banned."

Comment [TS7]: WM (word missing)
Needs a conjunction like "but" to show opposition, the "however" turn to your research?

Comment [TS8]: Specify?

Comment [TS9]: PCT (punctuation)

Comment [TS10]: UNN
You seem to often use two words to say the same thing: for me, "improve" does the job better in this sentence.

Comment [TS11]: See previous

Great INtroduction to your example ☺

Comment [TS12]: INsert ☺

Comment [TS13]: VT (verb tense) ...

Comment [TS14]: UNN

Comment [TS15]: WC (word choice)

Comment [TS16]: "...by using..."

Comment [TS17]: I think you could ...

Comment [TS18]: What exactly is the ...

Comment [TS19]: Which are?

Comment [TS20]: Which one?

Comment [TS21]: Tells me why this issu ...

Comment [TS22]: Good idea—this allow ...

Comment [TS23]: ?

Comment [TS24]: UNN ...

Comment [TS25]: See #10

Comment [TS26]: I like it! ☺ ...